EVALUATION OF INVASIVE METHODS TO DIAGNOSIS Helicobacter pylori INFECTION IN CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS WITH DYSPEPSIA INVASIVE METHODS TO DIAGNOSE Hp INFECTION

Abstract: In our country there are few studies evaluating the accuracy of invasive methods in children, although the usual practice of endoscopic gastric biopsies to diagnose Helicobacter pylori infection. Methods: We evaluated prospectively 120 patients submitted to endoscopy for investigation of dyspeptic symptoms. Six antral biopsies were taken to detect Helicobacter pylori by rapid urease test, histology and culture. Patients were considerate infected if culture was positive or if histology and rapid urease test were positive. Results: The age ranges from 3mo to 17y (Median: 10y1mo); 54% were female and 46% were male; 44% (53/120) were infected. The endoscopic examination was normal in 54% (65/120) and abnormal in 46% (55/120). The diagnosis was gastritis - 69% (38/55), esophagitis - 13% (13/55), duodenal ulcer - 13% (7/55) and duodenitis - 5% (3/55). The 3 methods agreed in 72.5% (87/120), and were all negative in 48% (58/120) and all positive in 24.5% (29/120). The best agreement occurred between histology and rapid urease test (91.7%), followed by culture and histology (78.3%) and finally culture and rapid urease test (75%). The sensitivity of the rapid urease test was 100%, followed by histology (98.1%) and culture (56.6%). The specificity of histology was 97%, followed by rapid urease test (98.1%). Conclusions: The histology and rapid urease test combination was the most accurate to identify Helicobacter pylori infection in account of high sensitivity of rapid urease test and high specificity of histology, besides they were low cost and practicable. The culture alone must not be considered gold standard due to its low sensitivity.

combination of tests in adult and pediatric studies\(^{(7,8,9)}\). In Brazil, the *Helicobacter pylori* infection has high prevalence but there are few pediatric studies about the accuracy of invasive methods to diagnose *Helicobacter pylori* infection\(^{(10,11,12)}\).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the accuracy of 3 invasive methods: rapid urease test, histology and culture in gastric biopsies of children and adolescents to identify Hp.

2. PATIENTS AND METHODS

During 36 months, 120 patients with dyspeptic symptoms submitted to diagnostic upper GI endoscopy with gastric biopsies were prospectively evaluated. Medical Ethic Committee of our University approved the study and the informed consent was obtained from responsible for each patient. Patients with chronic extra digestive or immunosuppressive disease and patients in use of immunosuppressive or chemotherapy drugs, anti-inflammatory drugs, H2 receptor antagonist, antimicrobians and/or nitroimidazoles and/or bismuth compounds, at least 3 months prior the examination, were excluded.

3. METHODS

Endoscopy was performed under general anesthesia or conscientious sedation (midazolan – 0.2 mg/kg and meperidin – 1 mg/kg) using a pediatric videendoscopy (PENTAX EG 2430), after overnight fasting. Topic anesthesia and dimeticone were not used. Antral biopsies were taken from the antrum within 2 cm of pyloric channel for histology (2 fragments), rapid urease test (2 fragments) and bacterial culture (2 fragments).

4. RAPID UREASE TEST

We used a homemade solution containing 1 ml of distilled water, 2 drops of 1% red phenol and 0.1g of urea. This solution was prepared by endoscopist in the same day of the examination and maintained in environment temperature. The test was considered positive when color changes from yellow to red and it was observed until 24 hours\(^{(13)}\).

4.1. Histology

The specimens were oriented in filter paper, fixed in 10% formaldehyde solution and stained by hematoxylin and eosin, and modified Giemsa\(^{(14)}\). An expert pathologist characterized as positive the presence of spiral bacteria in mucosal layer or in the surface of epithelial cells\(^{(1)}\).

4.2. Culture

The fragment was inoculated in “Brain Heart Infusion” solution and maintained for 3 hours at 4°C then we gently scraped biopsy samples in “Brain Heart Infusion” agar containing 5% sheep blood and selective medium with nalidixic acid (2.5 mg/ml), vancomycin (2.5 mg/ml) and amphotericin B (0.25 mg/ml). The plates were incubated at 37°C for 7 days under microaerophilic conditions\(^{(15)}\). Bacterial culture was considerate positive when small translucent colonies were spiral Gram-negative bacteria and positive for oxidase, catalase and urease tests\(^{(16,17)}\).

5. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value was calculated considering the positivity of culture or of histology and rapid urease test as gold standard. Cochran’s G test was used to analyze the agreement among positive and negative results and McNemar’s test to analyze disagreement between 2 tests.

6. RESULTS

The age of 120 patients ranged from 3m to 17y (Median=10y1m ± 2y10m), 54% (65/120) were female and 46% (55/120) were male. Abdominal pain was evaluated in 89 patients aged from 6 to 17 years, and was present in 99% (88/89), identified in epigastric region in 82% and characterized as burning in 75%. Family history of peptic disease was present in 57% of patients.

Endoscopy was normal in 54% (65/120) and abnormal in 46% (55/120). *Helicobacter pylori* infection was present in 44% (53/120). Abnormal endoscopy showed gastritis in 69% (38/55), esophagitis in 13% (7/55), duodenal ulcer in 13% (7/55) and duodenitis in 5% (3/55). Active chronic gastritis was observed in 86% (103/120).

All methods agreed in 72.5% (87/120), all negative in 48% (58/120) and positive in 24.5% (29/120). Disagreement occurred in 33 (27.5%) patients. In 23 patients only culture was negative; in 7 patients only rapid urease test was positive; in 2 only histology was positive and 1 patient presented only histology nega-
tive. The analysis of all concordant tests presents $G_{calc} = 45.82$ ($G_{crit} = 5.99$) (Table I), showing that there was large concordance among these three invasive tests. However culture presents the major disagreement, in 23 patients only culture was negative.

Analyzing the disagreement between each two tests, we observed that major agreement occurred between histology and rapid urease test (91.7%), followed by culture and histology (78.3%) and finally, culture and rapid urease test (75%) (Table II).

The major sensitivity was achieved by rapid urease test (100%); the specificity was 89.5%. Histology presented sensitivity of 98% and specificity of 97%. Culture presented lower sensitivity (56.6%) and 100% of specificity (Table III).

### Table I: Evaluation of 3 diagnostic methods for Hp infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Rapid urease test</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29 (24.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cochran's G Test**

- $G_{calc} = 45.82$
- $G_{crit} = 5.99$

### Table II: Agreement and disagreement analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Agreement (%)</th>
<th>Disagreement (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Histology and rapid urease test</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture and histology</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture and rapid urease test</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*McNemar's test: Statistical significant test

### Table III: Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value, accuracy and confidence interval.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Positive predictive value (%)</th>
<th>Negative predictive value (%)</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
<th>CI (95%)</th>
<th>CI (95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rapid urease test</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>84.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>95.6-100</td>
<td>94-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>47.7-66.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. DISCUSSION

This study shows Hp infection in 44% of 120 symptomatic patients submitted to endoscopy, higher rate than observed in developed countries$^{(18,19)}$ and higher than observed in study of seroprevalence in asymptomatic children in our Country$^{(10)}$.

We evaluated three different methods to diagnosis Hp infection, using as gold-standard the positivity of culture, that was incontestable proof of bacterial presence, however presents high rate of false negative results that became difficult its use as gold standard$^{(7)}$; we also used the positivity of histology and rapid urease test, this point designed the patient as infected or not infected, then the methods were evaluated using this artifice as gold standard. Unfortunately, at present, no single test can be relied upon to detect definitely Hp infection$^{(3,5)}$ and a combination of tests is recommended as gold standard$^{(3,8,9,20,21)}$.

Agreement of 3 methods (72.5%), was lower than observed in similar studies (87%)$^{(9)}$. The Cochran’s G test presents $G_{calc} = 45.82$ ($G_{crit} = 5.99$) (Table I), it appointed to a large concordance among these three invasive tests. The highest agreement between two tests occurred in histology plus rapid urease test combination (91.7%) similar to observed in other study$^{(21)}$. 

---
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Low sensitivity of culture was expected in account of its high number of false negative results related in literature, however our sensitivity (56.6%) was lower than observed in others studies (86 a 100%) (8, 22). Culture, although considerate gold standard, is recommended only in research and to evaluate antibiotics sensitivity in retreated patients.

Our results show rapid urease test as the most sensitivity (100%), in opposition to others studies that report lower sensitivity in children (18, 23) and adults (21, 24, 25). Specificity (89.5%), however, were lower when compared to these studies. This can occur by contamination by oral bacteria or by others urease producer bacteria (26), or by biopsy grasp or fragment contamination (27, 28). A homemade rapid urease test is routinely used in our service, this test allows quickly evaluation of patient’s infectious status (first 20 minutes) and has low cost (US$ 0.01/test) (29).

Histology presented high sensitivity (98%) and specificity (97%) similar to observed in literature (18, 20, 21, 24, 25). As biopsy based method, it is possible to obtain a fragment without Hp due to its patchy distribution on gastric mucosa (6) or by low quantity of bacteria in children (30). False positive result can occur if contamination occurred by others Hp like bacteria (Ex. C. jejuni var. doylei) (31) or colonization by others Hp species (26). We used Hematoxylin-eosin and modified Giemsa stain to detect Hp on antral biopsies, because this association increased the sensitivity of histology (14). Modified Giemsa stain become Hp more evident and allows better visualization of Hp (1, 32). Sometimes, Hp can locate profoundly in the crypts, so the fragment orientation in a filter paper can become the exam better (33).

The histology and rapid urease test association, presented the highest agreement tax among evaluated methods (91.7%), similar results were observed in adults (Lido) and allows rapid evaluation of patient’s infectious status (Rapid urease test) and microscopic analysis of gastric mucosa (Histology).

We conclude that histology and rapid urease test association was the most accurate to identify Hp infection, with high agreement tax, high sensitivity (Rapid urease test) and high specificity (Histology). Our results suggest that culture alone may not be used as gold standard due to its low sensitivity.
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